

5/10/76

Dear Bruce Kurek,

Your letter and the valuable clippings came here promptly but I have not had time to respond or to do more than skim the headlines.

Last October I was hospitalized with what turned out to be a very heavy phlebitis. The damage is permanent. It limits what I can do and requires that I make an effort to get more rest. Most nights I get 6-7 hours now. Or, I work a long day. I'm in court on several FOIA cases and I do try to write. So I hope you can understand that it is not impoliteness that edelay the response of limits it.

Your very neat but for me vert small writing is another problem. I have the eyes of a 63-year old, which I am, when those eyes required spectacles when I was 3. I had to use the magnifying glass to read your address.

I believe you are talking about a paper that has been floating around for some time, an alleged FBI report on Jack Rubenstein being an informer for Congressman Nixon. I've paid no attention to it because it is illogical. Others say they have learned the FBI form on which it is typed had not yet been created by that date.

The lawyer's name is John Abt. I know him, or did 40 years ago. There is no possibility that he was part of any plot. This is irrational, utteely irrational. The same is true of anythin and everytbing alleged to the tramp pictures, of those not tramps and about which nothing truthful is alleged by all those nuts. They might as well be spooks. They serve them. I simply cannot take time for this kind of fixxx silliness. I've done much work on these things and am certain. The ripoffs and im-provisations in Skeptic have the same result, regardless of sponsorship. And of the sick-in-the head Joesten. The picture I sued in WW II is the complete Aligens. I've heard of no others that are clear. Dillard did not respond when I wrote him.

Marchetti never mentioned Gardenplot when we met some ~~ha~~ years ago. There is no reason I know of not to believe what he says and there was, for years, a law that would have enabled it.

If you can get a dub of the fake King broadcast I might be able to do something with it. I'm still on that case and have a suit in court on it.

Clippings can always be very helpful, especially from papers I can't see otherwise. With the limitations imposed on me now I save them for when I have to rest or when I travel. I have to keep my legs horizontal when I type and can't sit for more than 30 minutes at a time.

There is nothing personal in my being upset. I'm dismayed that so many concerned people are diverted into useless paths and that it takes so much time for me to try and explain that these are unrealities and irrelevancies. I get many letters. If I take time for detailed answers I'd do nothing else. If I don't I'm some kind of bum. Basically most of these things are crazy. They have the end result also of destroying everyone's credibility.

I don't throw clippings away. I file them after I read them and as you should have noticed, sometimes use them extensively. In the end my extensive files will be an archive at some college, available to all in the future. So even nut stuff can have future value and I don't throw it away.

I do hope you can understand that I really can't justify taking time to respond to every rumor that is attractive to someone whose knowledge is inadequate. And I encourage you to suspect those who start these things. Whether they are sane or not they serve the interests of those who are responsible for these tragedies. I will not help them out. Again thanks,

Sincerely